

United States of America vs.

United States District Court for

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

LARRY JAMES DODSON

DOCKET NO.

78-CR-108-B

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH

9

DAY

27

YEAR

78

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Larry Gullekson, Retained

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

☒ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☐ NOT GUILTY

FINDING & JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, U.S.C., Sections 922(a)(6) and 924(a), as charged in the Information.**

W. C. G. Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: ~~Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General for the purpose of deportation.~~

**FINE in the amount of Fifty dollars (\$50.00), payable to the Court Clerk on this date.**

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

Date

9-27-78

DEFENDANT

ROBERT GREGORY GREER, a/k/a  
GREG GREER

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

DOCKET NO.

78-CR-86

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (8,74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
9	20	78

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Pat Williams and James Fransein, Retained  
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☐ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

**FILED**  
☒ NOT GUILTY

SEP 20 1978

There being a ~~finding~~/verdict of

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 21, U.S.C., Sections 846 and 841(a) (1) and Title 18, U.S.C., Section 2, as charged in the indictment.**

**The Court finds that the defendant was 21 years of age at the time of conviction, and that he is eligible for handling under the Youth Correction Act.**

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for

**treatment and supervision pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5010(b) until discharged by the U. S. Parole Commission, as provided by law.**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☒ U.S. District Judge

☐ U.S. Magistrate

H. DALE COOK

Date

9-20-78

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

DEFENDANT

DARLENE TAYLOR

DOCKET NO.

78-CR-92-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO 245 (5/75)

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH	DAY	YEAR
9	19	78

COUNSEL

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Richard H. Raskin (Retained)

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE

☐ NOT GUILTY

FILED

There being a finding ~~guilty~~

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

SEP 19 1978

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of

having violated Title 42, U.S.C., Section 1383(a(2), as charged in the  
Information

Jack G. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. District Court

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that ~~the defendant be sentenced to the United States Penitentiary for the District of Oklahoma for a term of two (2) years~~  
Counts I and II: The imposition of any sentence is suspended and the defendant is hereby placed on probation for a period of two (2) years

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

Defendant is jointly liable with the defendant, Frank J. Taylor in Case No. 78-CR-91-C for restitution to the U. S. Court Clerk in the sum of \$1,811.60 at the rate of \$50.00 per month beginning in October, 1978 and continuing thereafter until the full amount shall have been paid. In the event the defendant, Frank J. Taylor, fails to make any monthly payment then and in that event the defendant Darlene Taylor shall be liable for such payment.

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

SIGNED BY

☐ U.S. District Judge

By

☒ U.S. Magistrate

Date 9-19-78

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

United States of America vs.

United States District Court for  
Northern District of Oklahoma

DEFENDANT

FRANK J. TAYLOR

DOCKET NO.

78-CR-91-C

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6-74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH DAY YEAR  
9 19 78

☐ WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

☒ WITH COUNSEL

Richard H. Raskin (Retained)

(Name of counsel)

PLEA

☒ GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

☐ NOLO CONTENDERE,

☒ NOT GUILTY  
**FILED**

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

There being a finding/verdict

☐ NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

☒ GUILTY.

SEP 19 1978

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of

having violated Title 42, U.S.C., Section 1383(a)(2) and Title 42,  
U.S.C., Section 1383(a)(3), as charged in Information

Jack G. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

**Counts I, II, III, IV and V: The imposition of sentence is suspended and defendant is hereby placed on probation for a period of three (3) years.**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

**The special condition of probation is that defendant make restitution to the U. S. Court Clerk in the sum of \$1,811.60 at the rate of \$50.00 per month beginning in October, 1978 until such time as the full amount shall have been paid.**

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

☐ U.S. District Judge

☒ U.S. Magistrate

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

Date

9-19-78

SEP 19 1978

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMAJack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	
v.	)	NOS. 78-C-121-B
	)	76-CR-123
JIMMY RAY LEE,	)	
	)	
Defendant-Movant.	)	

O R D E R

The Court has for consideration the pro se, in forma pauperis, motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 filed by Robert Jerry Lee. The cause has been assigned civil Case No. 78-C-121-B and docketed in his criminal Case No. 76-CR-123.

Movant is a prisoner in the Federal Correctional Institution, Seagoville, Texas, pursuant to conviction upon his plea of guilty to an indictment charging in Count One, conspiracy to take, carry away and steal property having a value in excess of \$100 which was moving as and constituted an interstate shipment, with intent to convert it to his own use in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371. Count Two charged the substantive offense in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 659. On September 23, 1976, Defendant, Movant herein, was sentenced to three years imprisonment on each count, to run concurrently with each other, and concurrently with the sentence Defendant was then serving in the Federal Institution, Texarkana, Texas.

In his § 2255 motion, Movant demands his release from custody and as grounds therefor claims that he is being deprived of his rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States of America. In particular, Movant claims that:

1. His plea of guilty was unlawfully induced and not made voluntarily with understanding of the nature of the charges and consequences of the plea, and in contravention of the plea agreement.
2. His counsel was ineffective in advising him as to the consequences of a plea.
3. The Trial Court did not order a pre-sentence investigation and report prior to passing sentence which adversely affected his eligibility for parole.

The Court recalls the plea and sentence of Jimmy Ray Lee, and has carefully reviewed the motion, response, criminal file, and transcript of the plea and sentence. Being fully advised in the premises, the Court finds that the Movant's contentions are clearly refuted by the

record precluding the necessity for an evidentiary hearing, and the motion is without merit and should be overruled.

Movant's plea of guilty on September 23, 1976, was in full conformity with Rule 11, Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and constitutional safeguards. The charges and maximum possible sentence were explained to the Defendant by the Court. It was carefully determined that his plea of guilty was entered of his own free choice, without force, threat or promise. Defendant stated that he was satisfied with his attorney. The plea agreement was placed fully on the record by defense and prosecution counsel, and Defendant stated that the plea agreement was correct and as he understood it. After making certain that the Defendant understood that the Court had not participated in any plea bargaining, was not bound by any plea agreement, and was free to impose the maximum sentence as explained to him, the Court accepted the plea agreement and informed Defendant that he would receive the sentence as recommended in the bargain or one more favorable to him, and sentence was imposed in accordance with the plea agreement. The Defendant admitted committing the crimes charged. His plea was taken while he was under oath, after the Court had explained, "You will now be placed on oath, subject to criminal prosecution and punishment for any false statement you may make or perjury you commit connected with and relevant to your plea; do you understand that?"

Neither Court nor counsel is under any obligation to explain the Parole Commission's application of its guidelines prior to or at the time of plea or sentence, but only must insure that the Defendant understands the minimum and maximum sentence provided by law for the offenses committed. A plea of guilty is a solemn act not to be disregarded because of belated misgivings about the wisdom of the same. United States v. Woosley, 440 F.2d 1280 (8th Cir. 1971); Chaney v. United States, No. 76-1116 Unreported (10th Cir. filed Jan. 4, 1977). Movant's first two claims that his plea and sentence were invalid and his counsel ineffective because the conviction in the case here challenged resulted in a lengthening of the period before he would receive parole consideration on his prior sentence under the Parole Commission's application of its guidelines is without merit and does not support collateral relief in this Court.

Movant's third contention that the Court did not obtain a presentence report prior to sentencing which adversely affected his being considered for parole is equally without merit.

Rule 32(c), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, provides in pertinent part, "The probation service of the court shall make a presentence investigation and report to the court before the imposition of sentence or the granting of probation unless, with the permission of the court, the defendant waives a presentence investigation and report, . . ." (Emphasis added) Immediately following the Court's acceptance of the Movant's guilty plea, Movant's counsel stated in regard to the presentence report:

"MR. STAINER: He desires to waive.

"DEFENDANT LEE: Yes, sir, I do.


"THE COURT: Do you concur, Mr. Bryant?

"MR. BRYANT: I have no objection, Your Honor."

The Court, with this waiver of a presentence report by Defendant, his counsel, and the prosecuting attorney, permitted the waiver; and after questioning the Defendant, personally, as to any prior record, proceeded to impose the sentence as recommended in the plea agreement.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 of Jimmy Ray Lee be and it is hereby overruled and dismissed.

Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of September, 1978, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

SEP 15 1978

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

vs.

MELVIN NICHOLAS CLIFTON

Criminal No. 77-CR-50

FILED

SEP 15 1978

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses the Indictment against only  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Melvin Nicholas Clifton, defendant.

/s/ Robert H. Bryant  
United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

/s/ Dale Cook  
United States District Judge

Date: September 15, 1978

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74



FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SEP 14 1978

Northern District of Oklahoma

Jack G. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

Criminal No. 78-CR-77-C

vs.

LARRY JAMES DODSON

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Larry James Dodson defendant.

HUBERT H. BRYANT  
United States Attorney

  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

  
United States District Judge

Date: September 14, 1978

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

DEFENDANT

JERRY KENT HANSLIS

SUPPLEMENTAL

DOCKET NO.

77-CR-118-B

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AO-245 (6/74)

COUNSEL

In the presence of the attorney for the government the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 9 DAY 14 YEAR 78

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Pat Malloy - Retained

(Name of counsel)

FILED

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

NOT GUILTY

SEP 14 1978

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged  
GUILTY.

Jack O. Smith, Clerk  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING & JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of having violated Title 21, U.S.C., Section 841(a) (1), as charged in the Indictment.

SENTENCE OR PROBATION ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for imprisonment for a period of

Eight (8) months and further ordered that the defendant may become eligible for parole at such time as the Parole Commission may determine as provided in Title 18, U.S.C., Section 4205(b) (2).

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that said sentence shall include special parole term of Three (3) years as required by T. 21, U.S.C., Sec. 841(b) (1) (A).

IT IS FURTHER ADJUDGED that the defendant shall receive credit on this sentence for pre-sentence custody and time served to date on the prior sentence.

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

COMMITMENT RECOMMENDATION

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver a certified copy of this judgment and commitment to the U.S. Marshal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

Date 9-14-78

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN District of OKLAHOMA

United States of America

vs.

PAUL HALL,

Criminal No. 78-CR-55

FILED

SEP 13 1978

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Paul Hall, defendant.

Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

14/N. Dale Cook  
United States District Judge

Date: September 13, 1978

DOJ

FORM OBD-113

8-27-74

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

MORRIS GENE FERGUSON,

Defendant.

NO. 78-CR-53-B ✓

O R D E R

The Court has received a written request from the Defendant, Morris Gene Ferguson, regarding his sentence imposed July 14, 1978, to 36 months, 72 days confinement in a jail-type institution to be served on weekends, and the remainder on probation.

Defendant asks that he be permitted to serve the remaining 60 days of his 72-day, jail-type confinement in a continuous 60-day period rather than on weekends. The Court, being fully advised in the premises, finds that the request should be granted.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September, 1978, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

**F I L E D**

SEP 13 1978

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

11-10-1978  
CLERK  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TERRY DEANE COLLINSON,

Defendant.

NO.: 78-CR-24-B

O R D E R


In this cause set for jury trial this date, Defendant has made oral motion for dismissal of the indictment on the grounds of unnecessary delay in contravention of the Speedy Trial Act and Local Court Plan under said Act, upon announcement by the Government that a material and key witness is still unavailable for the prosecution to proceed to trial.

In reviewing the proceedings, the Court finds that the indictment was returned February 9, 1978. Prior retained counsel was permitted by the Court to withdraw on April 4, 1978. Present counsel made entry of appearance April 10, 1978, and filed a written waiver of speedy trial that same date. Defendant was granted an extension of time. On June 6, 1978, Defendant filed waiver of jury trial to which the Government objected and declined to consent pursuant to Rule 23(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure. The Government's motion for continuance due to the unavailability of material and key witnesses, Mr. and Mrs. Rogers, was granted June 8, 1978, with no objection from Defendant. Again, on July 7, 1978, the Government's second motion for continuance due to the unavailability of Mrs. Rogers was granted, with no defense objection.

The Court finds that to date the accused has been most cooperative regarding continuances herein. However, he now, seven months after indictment, demands trial or dismissal of the charges. Defendant's motion should be sustained in accordance with the spirit of the Speedy Trial Act and Rule 48(b), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the indictment against Terry Deane Collinson and this case be and they are hereby dismissed.

Done in open Court this 11th day of September, 1978, at Tulsa, Oklahoma.

  
CHIEF JUDGE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF  
OKLAHOMA

DEFENDANT

PATRICIA ELIZABETH SMITH  
a/k/a PAT SMITH

DOCKET NO. 78-CR-85

JUDGMENT AND PROBATION/COMMITMENT ORDER

AG 245 (6/74)

In the presence of the attorney for the government  
the defendant appeared in person on this date

MONTH 9 DAY 8 YEAR 78

COUNSEL

WITHOUT COUNSEL

However the court advised defendant of right to counsel and asked whether defendant desired to have counsel appointed by the court and the defendant thereupon waived assistance of counsel.

WITH COUNSEL

Joseph F. Clark, Jr., Court Appointed  
(Name of counsel)

PLEA

GUILTY, and the court being satisfied that  
there is a factual basis for the plea,

NOLO CONTENDERE,

FILED  
NOT GUILTY

SEP 8 1978

There being a finding/verdict of

NOT GUILTY. Defendant is discharged

GUILTY.

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U.S. DISTRICT COURT

FINDING &  
JUDGMENT

Defendant has been convicted as charged of the offense(s) of **having violated Title 18, USC,  
Section 656, as charged in the Indictment.**

**It is the finding of the Court that the defendant was 23 years of  
age at the time of conviction, and that there are reasonable grounds  
to believe she would benefit from handling under the Federal Youth  
Correction Act.**

SENTENCE  
OR  
PROBATION  
ORDER

The court asked whether defendant had anything to say why judgment should not be pronounced. Because no sufficient cause to the contrary was shown, or appeared to the court, the court adjudged the defendant guilty as charged and convicted and ordered that: The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his authorized representative for ~~imprisonment~~

**treatment and supervision under the Federal Youth Correction Act,  
pursuant to Title 18, U.S.C., Section 5010(a).**

**The execution of the sentence is suspended and the defendant is  
placed on probation for a period of Five (5) Years from this date.**

**In addition to the usual conditions of probation, the defendant  
is to obtain a job and make restitution in the amount of \$6,000.00  
at a rate of payment to be monitored by the Probation Department.**

SPECIAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

ADDITIONAL  
CONDITIONS  
OF  
PROBATION

In addition to the special conditions of probation imposed above, it is hereby ordered that the general conditions of probation set out on the reverse side of this judgment be imposed. The Court may change the conditions of probation, reduce or extend the period of probation, and at any time during the probation period or within a maximum probation period of five years permitted by law, may issue a warrant and revoke probation for a violation occurring during the probation period.

The court orders commitment to the custody of the Attorney General and recommends,

COMMITMENT  
RECOMMEN-  
DATION

It is ordered that the Clerk deliver  
a certified copy of this judgment  
and commitment to the U.S. Mar-  
shal or other qualified officer.

SIGNED BY

U.S. District Judge

U.S. Magistrate

H. DALE COOK

Date 9-8-78

CERTIFIED AS A TRUE COPY ON

THIS DATE

By

( ) CLERK

( ) DEPUTY

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of Oklahoma

SEP 5 1978

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

Criminal No. 78-CR-77

vs.

LARRY JAMES DODSON

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses ~~xxx~~ Count 23 of the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Larry James Dodson defendant.

HUBERT H. BRYANT  
United States Attorney

1A/George Carrasquillo  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

1A/H. Dale Cook  
United States District Judge

Date: September 5, 1978

FORM OBD-113

DOJ

8-27-74

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SEP 5 1978

Northern District of Oklahoma

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

United States of America

Criminal No. 78-CR-77-C

vs.

LARRY JAMES DODSON

ORDER FOR DISMISSAL

Pursuant to Rule 48(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal  
Procedure and by leave of court endorsed hereon the United States  
Attorney for the Northern District of Oklahoma  
hereby dismisses ~~xxx~~ Count III of the Indictment against  
(indictment, information, complaint)  
Larry James Dodson, defendant.

HUBERT H. BRYANT  
United States Attorney

/s/ George Carrasquillo  
Asst. United States Attorney

Leave of court is granted for the filing of the foregoing dismissal.

/s/ W. Dale Cook  
United States District Judge

Date: September 5, 1978

FORM OBD-113

DOJ

8-27-74



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
vs. )  
 )  
DEBORAH KAY SEIGEL, )  
 )  
Defendant. )

No. 76-CR-53-C

**FILED**

SEP 1 1977


O R D E R

Jack C. Silver, Clerk  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT

The defendant, Deborah Kay Seigel, entered a plea of guilty to an indictment charging her with a violation of Title 21 U.S.C. § 846. She was sentenced on July 23, 1976 to treatment and supervision under the Youth Corrections Act, Title 18 U.S.C. § 5010(b). The Court at that time also imposed a special parole term of three years.

Rule 35 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure provides that "[t]he court may correct an illegal sentence at any time . . . ." The Court is now of the opinion that the imposition of a special parole term following a YCA sentence is not authorized by law. For that reason, the sentence heretofore imposed on the defendant, Deborah Kay Seigel, is hereby corrected to eliminate the three-year special parole term.

It is so Ordered this 31<sup>st</sup> day of August, 1977.

  
H. DALE COOK  
United States District Judge